

The Knowledge Bank at The Ohio State University

Ohio Mining Journal

Title: The Comparative Results of Weights and Estimates of Coal in the Hocking Valley: read at the Annual Meeting of the Ohio Institute of Mining Engineers, at Columbus, Jan., 1887

Creators: [Jennings, W. H.](#)

Issue Date: 15-Feb-1887

Citation: Ohio Mining Journal, vol. 5, no. 2 (February 15, 1887), 22-24.

URI: <http://hdl.handle.net/1811/32466>

Appears in Collections: [Ohio Mining Journal: Volume 5, no. 2 \(February 15, 1887\)](#)

THE COMPARATIVE RESULTS OF WEIGHTS AND ESTIMATES OF COAL IN THE HOCKING VALLEY.

BY W. H. JENNINGS.

Read at the Annual Meeting of the Ohio Institute of Mining Engineers, at Columbus, Jan., 1887.

Last year I gave some figures closely showing weights of coal mined from one of the mines in the Hocking Valley, giving the tonnage as derived,

First, by weights at the mines ;

Second, by weights of the Railway Companies ;

Third, by measurement.

The results obtained by the three methods were so remarkably close that our President thought it a coincidence only, and not likely to again occur.

During the past year I have been enabled to continue the record from this mine and also from two or three others, receiving reports daily from each of these mines, giving the weights of each car of lump coal weighed on the scales at the mines, and afterward, (generally upon the same day,) as weighed by the Railway Company on its scales in the Nelsonville yard ; and while there may have been slight differences in the weights of each car in the long run, they agree very

You will observe that in every case, save one, the weights of lump coal are greater by the mine than by the Railway scales. The difference is so small, however, taking the total weight into consideration, that it may be accounted for by the coal losing some weight, either by a few hours drying in the sun and air, or by the shaking off of a few pounds while in transit between the scales. It shows, however, that the miner is receiving full weight and the weight for "royalty" is greater than the weight for "freight."

Through my assistant, Mr. H. B. Van Atta, who has with great care and good judgment taken the notes and computed the cubical contents of space mined each month, (estimating thirty-seven (37) cubic feet of space mined to be equal to one (1) ton of lump coal,) I am enabled to give the following results, and also include in the tables the other grades of coal :

MINE NO. 1.

"PICK MINE."

Omitting Slack.

Including Slack.

| 1885. | Cub. feet per Ton | LUMP. | | NUT. | | PEA. | | TOTAL. | | SLACK Tons. | TOTAL. Tons. |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|------|--------|------|-------|--------|---------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | % | Tons. | % | Tons. | % | Tons. | % | Tons. | | |
| Mine Weight | 37.16 | 82.4 | 94,796 | 11.4 | 13,112 | 6.2 | 7,185 | 100 | 115,093 | 6,051 | 121,084 |
| Railway Weight | 37.15 | 82.1 | 94,783 | 11.4 | 13,102 | 6.5 | 7,498 | 100 | 115,383 | 5,774 | 121,157 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 83.3 | 95,157 | 11.1 | 12,687 | 5.6 | 6,348 | 100 | 114,187 | 12,687 | *126,874 |

1886.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|-----|-------|-----|---------|--------|----------|
| Mine Weight | 36.89 | 83.1 | 85,256 | 13.3 | 13,705 | 3.6 | 3,693 | 100 | 102,654 | 7,171 | 109,825 |
| Railway Weight | 36.99 | 83.3 | 85,024 | 13.1 | 13,384 | 3.6 | 3,601 | 100 | 102,009 | 6,948 | 108,947 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 83.3 | 85,010 | 11.1 | 11,332 | 5.6 | 5,668 | 100 | 102,010 | 11,332 | *113,342 |

1885 and 1886.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------|---------|------|--------|-----|--------|-----|---------|--------|----------|
| Mine Weight | 37.04 | 82.7 | 179,992 | 12.3 | 26,817 | 5.0 | 10,878 | 100 | 217,687 | 13,222 | 230,909 |
| Railway Weight | 37.07 | 82.7 | 179,307 | 12.2 | 26,486 | 5.1 | 11,089 | 100 | 217,382 | 12,722 | 230,104 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 83.3 | 180,167 | 11.1 | 24,019 | 5.6 | 12,011 | 100 | 216,197 | 24,019 | *240,216 |

MINES NO. 2 AND 3.

LEGG MACHINE MINES.

Omitting Slack.
1886.

Including Slack.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|-----|---------------------------------|-----|--------|--------|----------|
| Mine Weight | 37.40 | 89.2 | 79,492 | 10.8 | 9,661 | ... | Pea used under boiler. | 100 | 89,153 | 7,265 | 96,418 |
| Railway Weight | 37.87 | 88.8 | 78,757 | 11.6 | 9,963 | ... | Omit in total | 100 | 88,720 | 7,640 | 96,360 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 88.2 | 80,631 | 11.8 | 10,751 | ... | | 100 | 91,382 | 10,751 | *107,508 |

MINE NO. 4.

HARRISON MACHINE MINE.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------|--------|------|-------|-----|-------|-----|--------|-------|---------|
| Mine Weight | 38.09 | 81.1 | 46,136 | 12.6 | 7,195 | 6.3 | 3,592 | 100 | 56,923 | 634 | 57,557 |
| Railway Weight | 38.59 | 80.8 | 45,536 | 12.8 | 7,208 | 6.4 | 3,609 | 100 | 56,353 | 638 | 56,991 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 83.3 | 47,497 | 11.1 | 6,333 | 5.6 | 3,166 | 100 | 56,996 | 6,333 | *63,329 |

SUMMARY.

MINES NO. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|------|---------|------|--------|----------|------------------|-----|---------|--------|----------|
| Mine Weight | 37.32 | 87.5 | 305,620 | 12.5 | 43,673 | Omitted. | 14,470 | 100 | 363,763 | 21,121 | 384,884 |
| Railway Weight | 37.51 | 87.4 | 304,100 | 12.6 | 43,657 | Omitted. | 14,688 | 100 | 362,455 | 21,000 | 383,455 |
| Measurement | 37.00 | 88.2 | 308,295 | 11.8 | 41,103 | Omitted. | *5,375 15,177 | 100 | 364,575 | 41,103 | *411,058 |

* Shows the full amount which was possible to have been shipped.

In a former paper on "Exhaustive Mining" I gave the percentage of the several grades of coal as follows:

| | |
|-------------|-----|
| Lump | 76 |
| Nut | 12 |
| Pea | 6 |
| Slack | 9 |
| <hr/> | |
| Total | 100 |

During the past year one of the mines shipped, for a period of five months ALL of its coal, including the slack, the only instance when this has been done, for so long a time of which I am aware, in the Hocking Valley. The percentage of slack was, during this period ten and two-tenths (10.2). From the observations of the past year, I have adopted the following as a percentage basis and have used the same in these tables:

| | | Omitting Slack. | Omitting Pea & Slack. |
|---------|-----|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Lump.. | 75 | 83.3 | 88.2 |
| Nut.... | 10 | 11.1 | 11.8 |
| Pea.... | 5 | 5.6 | ... |
| Slack.. | 10 | ... | ... |
| <hr/> | | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total | 100 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

This is a very convenient basis as it is only necessary to vary the Pea, which is a very uncertain quantity to fit almost any state of things. If you have an excess of Nut you have been robbing the Pea; and the same can be said of the Pea and Slack. I am convinced, however, that this per centage is very nearly the practical working in the Hocking Valley, when the screens in use have a width between screen bars of $1\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$ inches. This, of course, does not include what is left in the mine and goes into the "Gob." The Lump coal

is about sixty-six (66) per cent. of the cubical contents.

Mines Nos. 2, 3 and 4 do not make as good a showing in yield of Lump coal as they should or will make during this year, partly on account of an excess of "Bone coal," which was thrown away and which is now less in quantity, and partly on account of "Entry work," the entries yielding less Lump than the rooms. This was very noticeable in comparing the first six months, when the mining was all entry, and the last six months when about half the coal was mixed from rooms, the former falling behind the estimate and the last very nearly equaling it.

My object in making these investigations has been to establish a basis for the settlement of any questions which may arise in relation to "trespass" or "royalty." I have been especially fortunate in that, during the period covered by these records. No controversies have arisen nor has there been any vital issue at stake which could be suspected of having an undue influence upon the findings. The matter thus resolved itself into one merely of observation and calculation.

From the results given above, showing the weights by mine and Railway scales as practically agreeing and each very nearly approximating the amount obtained by measurement, we conclude that the basis of 37 cubic feet of space mined is equal to one ton of lump coal and with the percentage of grades of coal above given, fairly well represents the practical working of the mines of the Hocking Valley.